



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Admistrative Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,050	10/12/2004	Masami Kusaka	Q101060	6207
23373	7590	06/30/2008	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			MAEWALL, SNICDHHA	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			1612	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/30/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/511,050	KUSAKA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Snigdha Maewall	1612

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Snigdha Maewall. (3) Jennifer Hayes.

(2) Dr. Kishore Gollamudi. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 June 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: Furaya et al., Hara et al. and Freedman et al., all of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: All the references cited in the rejections of Office action dated 03/11/08 were discussed. Applicant explained that the references cited do not support or teach hotflashes as related to sex hormones and that the references do not teach the claimed limitations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

**THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.** (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.